

Defense Health Board

Annual Review of DoD Deployment Health Centers

George K. Anderson, MD, MPH
Eve Higginbotham, SM, MD
Health Care Delivery Subcommittee

Defense Health Board Meeting February 21, 2012



Overview

- Question
- Background/History
- Review Process
- Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center (AFHSC)
 - Overview, Key Findings & Recommendations
- Naval Health Research Center (NHRC)
 - Overview, Key Findings & Recommendations
- Deployment Health Clinical Center (DHCC)
 - Overview, Key Findings & Recommendations
- Overarching Recommendations/The Way Ahead



The Question

Memorandum issued by ASD(HA) on September 17, 2002 to AFEB requested:

"...Ongoing program review and appointment of an AFEB Select Subcommittee to serve as a public health advisory board for the DoD Research and Clinical Centers for Deployment Health."



Background/History

- July 31, 2003: AFEB Memorandum proposed review by subcommittee annually
- 2004 & 2005: Reviews of both Centers conducted
- 2006: AFEB absorbed into DHB
- 2008: AFHSC established as third Deployment Health Center
- 2010: DHB Report on NHRC
- 2011: Tasking assigned to Dr. Anderson and Dr. Higginbotham via Healthcare Delivery Subcommittee



Review Process: Site Visits

December 19, 2011:
Deployment Health Clinical Center
Silver Spring, MD

December 20, 2011:
Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center
Silver Spring, MD

January 23, 2012: Naval Health Research Center San Diego, CA



Review Process: Apply PDM Model

 Reviewed Center performance according to a modified, abbreviated version of the Performance Driver Model™

These drivers are:

- Strategy
- Process
- Culture/People
- Structure/Programs
- Note: Ranking of program value and priority was outside the scope of this report



Overview



Healthcare Delivery Subcommittee visited on December 20, 2011



- Established in 2008
- Designated as single source for DoD-level health surveillance information
- Legacy agencies combined to form AFHSC:
 - Defense Medical Surveillance System (DMSS)
 - Global Emerging Infections Surveillance (GEIS)
 - DoD Serum Repository
- U.S. Army serves as Executive Agent (EA)
- Functional oversight from USD(P&R), ASD(HA), Force Health Protection Integration Council



Mission:

To promote, maintain, and enhance the health of military, military-associated and global populations by providing *relevant, timely, actionable and comprehensive* health surveillance information and support to U.S. military and military-associated populations.

Vision:

To be the central epidemiological resources for the Armed Forces of the United States and the Military Health System.



Structure and Programs:

Departments include:

- Data Management & Technical Support
 - Maintains surveillance databases
- Epidemiology & Analysis
 - Analyzes and interprets data for reports
- Communications, Standards & Training
 - Produces Medical Surveillance Monthly Report
- GEIS Operations
 - Monitors emerging infections through worldwide lab network
 - Unique asset to DoD, National and International Public Health



KEY FINDINGS



Strategy:

- Mission and Strategic goals in alignment with founding mission and goals
- Funding is primarily Defense Health Program funds, (allocated yearly) and is managed by Army as executive agent
- Monitoring and oversight:
 - Functional: Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel & Readiness), Force Health Protection Integration Council
 - Administrative: Army



Processes:

- Communication within AFHSC is robust
 - Regular meetings of Division Chiefs
 - Detailed, clear organizational charts define roles/reporting
- Strong external communication with Services/DoD partners
 - Service Liaison staff members facilitate communication
 - Liaisons from: Army, Navy, Air Force, DHS (Coast Guard),
 NCMI, WHO, CDC
- Processes for operations and quality assurance are sound
 - Rigorous review panel and process for reviewing requests
- Data feeds are robust with exception of pre-hospital theater data



People and Culture:

- Staff are highly qualified
 - Operational experience; MPH/PhD researchers
- Collaborative culture promotes high quality performance and outputs
 - Tri-Service Liaisons play critical role but limited by deployments
- Leadership includes Service members with operational experience across the Services
- A large proportion of staff are contractors, spread across five contracts



KEY RECOMMENDATIONS



- Secure long term funding within POM
- Protect Service Liaison positions from deployment
- Improve data collection processes in theater
- Preserve GEIS laboratory network



Overview



Healthcare Delivery Subcommittee visited on January 23, 2012



- NHRC was appointed as the Deployment Health Research Center in 2001
- Added Deployment Health Research Department to achieve this mission
- Reports to Naval Medical Research Center under Navy Bureau of Medicine and Surgery
- Conducts DoD health and medical research, development testing, evaluation and surveillance



Vision:

World-Class health and medical research solutions anytime, anywhere!

Mission:

To conduct health and medical research, development, testing, evaluation, and surveillance to enhance deployment readiness of DoD personnel worldwide.



Structure and Programs:

NHRC is organized by research area departments:

- Medical Modeling, Simulation and Mission Support
- Warfighter Performance
- Behavioral Sciences and Epidemiology
- Deployment Health Research
- HIV/AIDS Programs
- Operational Infectious Diseases

Its Scientific Support Office provides contract/funding management support.



KEY FINDINGS



Strategy:

- Mission and vision strongly align with ASD(HA) initial concept of operations
- Funding primarily received from variety of competitive sources for individual projects
 - POM funding for Millennium Cohort Study
 - As a lab partner, Operational Infectious Diseases department receives funding from GEIS network (through its POM)
- Reporting structure has changed over time; BUMED considering organizational realignment again



Processes:

- Central location promotes research partnerships and ensures operational relevancy
- Strong internal communications and collaboration between departments
- Safeguards in place to protect large databases containing PII/PHI



People and Culture:

- Staff are experienced, credentialed researchers with diverse backgrounds
- Collaborative culture
 - Departments occasionally conduct joint research and publish together
- University and operational partnerships bring in additional staff and interns



KEY RECOMMENDATIONS



- Maintain NHRC stability in any future BUMED reorganization
- DoD should continue to fund key longitudinal NHRC research projects
 - Millennium Cohort Study
 - Millennium Cohort Family Study (extend to same term as MCS)
- Extend successful pilot projects that are not currently Tri-Service to all Services
 - i.e. Recruit Assessment Program at MCRD being rolled out to all Services in cooperation with TMA
- Reassess Family Study child enrollment process
 - A more comprehensive strategy would strengthen generalizability





Healthcare Delivery Subcommittee visited on December 19, 2011



- Center founded as Gulf War Health Center in 1991
- Re-established as DHCC in 2001
- Transitioned to component center of DCoE in 2008
- Located at WRNMMC and Silver Spring, MD office
- Funding provided by DCoE as well as from extramural competitive research dollars



Assigned Missions by ASD(HA):

1. Clinical Care:

- Development of health care delivery strategies
- Risk communication
- Prevention

2. Clinical Research:

- Assessment of treatments, Service strategies, technology
- Use of health information systems for population-based approach to deployment health care

3. Continuing Education:

 Evidence-based military continuing education program for providers and patients



Current Mission:

To improve deployment-related healthcare through caring assistance and health advocacy for military personnel and families, while simultaneously serving as a military health system resource center and catalyst for deployment-related healthcare innovation, evaluation and research.



Structure and Programs:

- Specialized Care Programs
 - Three week intensive group therapy (transitioning to NICoE)
- Tri-Service Intensive Outpatient Program Synchronization (TrIOPs)
 - Initiative to develop coordinated program for DoD IOPs
- Respect-Mil
 - PTSD screening/treatment program at 85 Army base clinics
- Health Systems Research and Evaluation
 - Extramurally-funded research including STEPS-UP, a six-site RCT comparing 12-months of a system of collaborative PTSD/depression care with usual DoD primary care
- Education and Outreach
 - Conference participation, outreach at community theater, website



KEY FINDINGS



Strategy:

- Operational drift away from ASD(HA) assigned mission
 - Activities narrowly focused on mental health
- Project-based focus, rather then comprehensive systems approach



Processes:

- Limited communication with Services other than Army
- Evaluations of research projects lack cost effectiveness studies



People and Culture:

- Staff well qualified to meet current activity objectives
 - Two-thirds posses Master's or higher
- Researchers are primarily mental health specialists
- DHCC lacks Tri-Service representation in its staff
- Nearly 90 percent of staff are contractors from one contract



KEY RECOMMENDATIONS



- Develop comprehensive strategic plan
- Broaden Service Liaison positions to include all Services
- Develop standard evaluation/assessment processes
- Ensure staff composition supports strategic goals/mission
- Assess cost effectiveness of all research projects





 Health Affairs should conduct a performance review of DoD Deployment Health Centers' activities, projects and programs aimed at ensuring that funding levels are aligned with current operational priorities.



- Conduct periodic review of strategic goals, funding, and performance at each Center and report to the ASD(HA). Specifically:
 - a. Review the <u>mission and vision</u> of the Centers at least <u>every three years</u>, to ensure alignment with the overarching needs of DoD.
 - b. Review **budgeting resources yearly**.
 - c. Outline metrics-driven <u>strategic plans</u> for each Center every <u>three years</u>.



3. There are a number of programs within each Center that share **common elements** with one or more other Center(s).

Although these programs have unique missions, <u>active interchange</u> between the Centers to <u>leverage mutual programmatic</u> <u>strengths</u> may enhance program effectiveness.



The Way Ahead: DHB Reviews

Revisit NHRC and AFHSC in two years

Revisit DHCC in one year



Discussion & Vote

Questions?



Back Up Slides



GAO Report on DCoE February 2011

Applicable Recommendations:

- To address weaknesses in DCOE's strategic plan, the Secretary of Defense, through the Director of TMA, should direct the DCOE director to require the directorates to <u>align their day-to-day activities to</u> <u>support DCOE's mission and goals.</u>
- To address weaknesses in DCOE's strategic plan, the Secretary of Defense, through the Director of TMA, should direct the DCOE director to improve the performance measures in the plan to <u>enable DCOE to</u> <u>determine if achievement of each measure fully supports</u> <u>attainment of its associated goal</u>.



GAO Report on DCoE June 2011

Applicable Recommendations:

- To enhance visibility and improve accountability, the Secretary of
 Defense should direct the Director of TMA to work with the Director of
 DCOE to establish a process to regularly collect and review
 data on component centers' funding and obligations,
 including funding external to DCOE.
- To enhance visibility and improve accountability, the Secretary of
 Defense should direct the Director of TMA to work with the Director of
 DCOE to <u>expand its review and analysis process to include</u>
 component centers.



GAO Report on DCoE January 2012

Applicable Recommendations:

To increase visibility over how DOD is spending appropriated funds to address PH and TBI conditions, the Secretary of Defense should direct the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs to:

- Develop, maintain, and coordinate quality control
 mechanisms that help ensure that the obligation and expenditure data they report on PH and TBI projects and research are complete and accurate.
- Revisit DCOE's role as DOD's coordinating authority for issues concerning PH and TBI, as stated in its own plan, and determine whether it or another organization should perform this function.